

September, 1993
 hep-th/9309087

UCB-PTH-93/25
 LBL-34610

Recent Progress in Irrational Conformal Field Theory ^{*}

M.B. Halpern ^{† ‡}

*Department of Physics
 University of California
 and
 Theoretical Physics Group
 Physics Division
 Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
 1 Cyclotron Road
 Berkeley, California 94720
 USA*

Abstract

In this talk, I will review the foundations of irrational conformal field theory (ICFT), which includes rational conformal field theory as a small subspace. Highlights of the review include the Virasoro master equation, the Ward identities for the correlators of ICFT and solutions of the Ward identities. In particular, I will discuss the solutions for the correlators of the g/h coset constructions and the correlators of the affine-Sugawara nests on $g \supset h_1 \supset \dots \supset h_n$. Finally, I will discuss the recent global solution for the correlators of all the ICFT's in the master equation.

^{*}This work was supported in part by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC03-76SF00098 and in part by the National Science Foundation under grant PHY90-21139.

[†]e-mail: MBHALPERN@LBL.GOV, THEORY::HALPERN

[‡]Talk presented at the conference "Strings 1993", Berkeley, May 23-29.

0 Outline of the Talk

1. History of the affine-Virasoro constructions.
2. The general affine-Virasoro construction.
3. Irrational conformal field theory.
4. The Ward identities of irrational CFT.
5. Coset and nest correlators.
6. Algebraization of the Ward identities.
7. Candidate correlators for irrational CFT.
8. Conclusions.

1 History of the Affine-Virasoro Constructions

Affine-Virasoro constructions are Virasoro operators constructed with the currents J_a , $a = 1, \dots, \dim g$ of affine Lie g . All known conformal field theories may be constructed this way.

Here is a brief history of these constructions, which began with two papers [1,2] by Bardakci and myself in 1971. These papers contained the following developments.

- a) The first examples of affine Lie algebra, or current algebra on S^1 . This was the independent discovery of affine Lie algebra in physics, including the affine central extension some years before it was recognized in mathematics [3]. Following the convention in math, we prefer the neutral names affine Lie algebra or current algebra, reserving “Kac-Moody” for the more general case [4] including hyperbolic algebras.
- b) World-sheet fermions (half-integer moded), from which the affine algebras were constructed. Ramond [5] gave the integer-moded case in the same issue of Physical Review.
- c) The first affine-Sugawara constructions, on the currents of affine Lie algebra. Sugawara’s model [6] was in four dimensions on a different algebra. The

affine-Sugawara constructions were later generalized by Knizhnik and Zamolodchikov [7] and Segal [8], and the corresponding world-sheet action was given by Witten [9].

d) The first coset constructions, implicit in [1] and explicit in [2], which were later generalized by Goddard, Kent and Olive [10].

What was forgotten for many years was that our first paper also gave another affine-Virasoro construction, the so-called “spin-orbit” model, which was more general than the affine-Sugawara and coset constructions.

2 The General Affine-Virasoro Construction

Motivated by the affine-Sugawara, coset and spin-orbit constructions, Kiritsis and I studied the general affine-Virasoro construction [11,12] in 1989.

The construction begins with the currents of untwisted affine Lie g [4,1]

$$J_a(z) J_b(w) = \frac{G_{ab}}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{if_{ab}^c}{z-w} J_c(w) + \mathcal{O}(z-w)^0 \quad (2.1)$$

where $a, b = 1, \dots, \dim g$ and f_{ab}^c and G_{ab} are respectively the structure constants and generalized Killing metric of $g = \oplus_I g_I$. To obtain level $x_I = 2k_I/\psi_I^2$ of affine g_I with dual Coxeter number $\tilde{h}_I = Q_I/\psi_I^2$, take

$$G_{ab} = \oplus_I k_I \eta_{ab}^I \quad , \quad f_{ac}^d f_{bd}^c = - \oplus_I Q_I \eta_{ab}^I \quad (2.2)$$

where η_{ab}^I and ψ_I are respectively the Killing metric and highest root of g_I .

Given the currents, we consider the general stress tensor

$$T = L^{ab} {}_* J_a J_b {}_* + D^a \partial J_a + d^a J_a \quad (2.3)$$

where the coefficients $L^{ab} = L^{ba}$, D^a and d^a are to be determined. L^{ab} is called the inverse inertia tensor, in analogy with the spinning top. The stress tensor is required to satisfy the Virasoro algebra

$$T(z) T(w) = \frac{c/2}{(z-w)^4} + \left(\frac{2}{(z-w)^2} + \frac{\partial_w}{z-w} \right) T(w) + \mathcal{O}(z-w)^0 \quad (2.4)$$

where c is the central charge. I give here only the result at $D^a = d^a = 0$, which is called the Virasoro master equation [11,12]

$$L^{ab} = 2L^{ac} G_{cd} L^{db} - L^{cd} L^{ef} f_{ce}^a f_{df}^b - L^{cd} f_{ce}^f f_{df}^{(a} L^{b)e} \quad (2.5a)$$

$$c = 2 G_{ab} L^{ab} \quad . \quad (2.5b)$$

The master equation has been identified [13] as an Einstein system on the group manifold, with

$$g^{ij} = e_a^i L^{ab} e_b^j \quad , \quad c = \dim g - 4R \quad (2.6)$$

where g^{ij} and R are the inverse Einstein metric and Einstein curvature scalar respectively.

Here are some simple facts about the master equation which we will need in this lecture.

a) Affine-Sugawara constructions [1,2,7-9]. The affine-Sugawara construction on g is

$$L_g^{ab} = \oplus_I \frac{\eta_I^{ab}}{2k_I + Q_I} \quad , \quad c_g = \sum_I \frac{x_I \dim g_I}{x_I + \tilde{h}_I} \quad (2.7)$$

and similarly for L_h on $h \subset g$.

b) K-conjugation covariance [1,2,10,11]. Solutions of the master equation come in commuting K-conjugate pairs $T = L^{ab} *_a J_b *_b$ and $\tilde{T} = \tilde{L}^{ab} *_a J_b *_b$, which sum to the affine-Sugawara construction $T_g = L_g^{ab} *_a J_b *_b$,

$$L + \tilde{L} = L_g \quad , \quad T + \tilde{T} = T_g \quad , \quad c + \tilde{c} = c_g \quad (2.8a)$$

$$T(z) \tilde{T}(w) = \mathcal{O}(z - w)^0 \quad . \quad (2.8b)$$

K-conjugation is the central feature of affine-Virasoro constructions, and it suggests that the affine-Sugawara construction should be thought of as the tensor product of any pair of K-conjugate conformal field theories. This is the conceptual basis of factorization, discussed in Sections 4-7.

c) Coset constructions [1,2,10]. K-conjugation generates new solutions from old. The simplest examples are the g/h coset constructions

$$\tilde{L} = L_g - L_h = L_{g/h} \quad , \quad \tilde{T} = T_g - T_h = T_{g/h} \quad , \quad \tilde{c} = c_g - c_h = c_{g/h} \quad (2.9)$$

which follow by K-conjugation from L_h on $h \subset g$.

d) Affine-Sugawara nests [14-16]. Repeated K-conjugation on the subgroup sequence $g \supset h_1 \supset \dots \supset h_n$ gives the affine-Sugawara nests,

$$L_{g/h_1/\dots/h_n} = L_g - L_{h_1/\dots/h_n} = L_g + \sum_{j=1}^n (-)^j L_{h_j} \quad (2.10a)$$

$$c_{g/h_1/\dots/h_n} = c_g - c_{h_1/\dots/h_n} = c_g + \sum_{j=1}^n (-)^j c_{h_j} \quad . \quad (2.10b)$$

The nest stress tensors may be rearranged as sums of mutually-commuting Virasoro constructions for g/h and h ,

$$T_{g/h_1/\dots/h_{2n+1}} = T_{g/h_1} + \sum_{i=1}^n T_{h_{2i}/h_{2i+1}} \quad (2.11a)$$

$$T_{g/h_1/\dots/h_{2n}} = T_{g/h_1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} T_{h_{2i}/h_{2i+1}} + T_{h_{2n}} \quad (2.11b)$$

so the conformal field theories of the affine-Sugawara nests are expected to be tensor-product field theories (see Section 5).

3 Irrational Conformal Field Theory

Here is an overview of the solution space of the master equation, called affine-Virasoro space. For further details see the review in Ref.[17]

a) Counting [15,18]. The master equation is a set of $\dim g(\dim g + 1)/2$ coupled quadratic equations on the same number of unknowns L^{ab} . This allows us to estimate the number of inequivalent solutions on each manifold. As an example, there are approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ billion conformal field theories on each level of affine $SU(3)$, and exponentially larger numbers on larger manifolds.

b) Exact solutions [15,18-26,16]. Large numbers of new solutions have been found in closed form. On positive integer levels of affine compact g , most of these solutions are unitary with irrational central charge. As an example, the value at level 5 of affine $SU(3)$ [21]

$$c\left((SU(3)_5)_{D(1)}^\#\right) = 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{61}}\right) \simeq 1.7439 \quad (3.1)$$

is the lowest unitary irrational central charge yet observed. See Ref.[16] for the most recent list of exact solutions.

c) Systematics [17]. Generically, affine-Virasoro space is organized into level-families of conformal field theories, which are essentially analytic functions of the level. On positive integer level of affine compact g , it is clear from the form

of the master equation that the generic level-family has generically irrational central charge.

Moreover, rational central charge is rare in the space of unitary conformal field theories. Indeed, the rational conformal field theories are rare in the space of Lie h -invariant conformal field theories [16], which are themselves quite rare. Many candidates for new rational conformal field theories [23,27,28], beyond the coset constructions, have also been found.

d) Classification[†]. Study of the space by high-level[‡] expansion [21] shows a partial classification by graph theory and generalized graph theories [18,22-26]. In the classification, each graph is a level-family, whose conformal field theories carry the symmetry of the graph. At the present time, seven graph-theory units [17] of generically unitary and irrational conformal field theories have been studied, and it seems likely that many more can be found [26].

Large as they are, the graph theories cover only very small regions of affine-Virasoro space. Enough has been learned however to see that all known exact solutions are special cases of relatively high symmetry, whereas the generic conformal field theory is completely asymmetric [18].

Before going on to the Ward identities, I should mention several other lines of development.

1. Non-chiral CFT's. Adding right-mover copies $\bar{T}$ and $\tilde{T}$ of the K-conjugate stress tensors, we may take the usual Hamiltonian $H = L^{(0)} + \bar{L}^{(0)}$ for the L theory. Because the generic CFT has no residual affine symmetry, the physical Hilbert space of the generic theory L is characterized by

$$\tilde{L}^{(m>0)}|L\text{-physical}\rangle = \tilde{\tilde{L}}^{(m>0)}|L\text{-physical}\rangle = 0 \quad . \quad (3.2)$$

2. World sheet action [29]. Correspondingly, the world-sheet action of the generic theory L is a spin-two gauge theory, in which the WZW theory is gauged

[†]In the course of this work, a new and apparently fundamental connection between Lie groups and graphs was seen. The interested reader should consult Ref.[16] and especially Ref.[26], which axiomatizes these observations.

[‡] The leading behavior $L^{ab} = (P^{ab}/2k) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$, $\tilde{L}^{ab} = (\tilde{P}^{ab}/2k) + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ is believed [21] to include all unitary conformal field theories on affine compact g , where P and $\tilde{P}$ are projectors which sum to the inverse Killing metric. In the graph theories, the projectors are the adjacency matrices of the graphs.

by the K-conjugate theory $\tilde{L}$. An open direction here is the relation with σ -models and the corresponding space-time geometry of irrational conformal field theory. In this connection, see also Ref.[13].

3. Superconformal master equations [30]. The N=1 system has been studied in some detail [23-26], and a simplification [31] of the N=2 system has recently been noted: Eq.(D.5) of Ref.[30] is redundant, so that eq.(D.6) is the complete N=2 system. It is an important open problem to find unitary N=2 solutions with irrational central charge.

Another open question at N=2 is the relation of the master equation to the bosonic constructions of Kazama and Suzuki [27,28].

4. Exact C-functions [32,30,17]. Exact C-functions [33] and associated C-theorems are known for the N=0 and N=1 master equations, but not yet for N=2.

4 The Ward Identities of Irrational CFT

It is clear that the Virasoro master equation is the first step in the study of irrational conformal field theory (ICFT), which contains rational conformal field theory (RCFT) as a small subspace of relatively high symmetry,

$$\text{ICFT} \supset \text{RCFT} \quad . \quad (4.1)$$

On the other hand, the correlators of irrational conformal field theory have, until recently, remained elusive. The reason is that most of the computational methods of conformal field theory have been based on null states of extended Virasoro algebras [5,34-36], whereas the generic conformal field theory, being totally asymmetric, is not expected to possess such simple algebras.

Recently, Obers and I have found a set of equations, the affine-Virasoro Ward identities [37], for the correlators of irrational conformal field theory.

The first step is to consider KZ-type null states [7,37], which live in the modules of the universal covering algebra of the affine algebra, and which are more general than extended Virasoro null states. As an example, we have

$$0 = L^{(-1)}|R_g\rangle - 2L^{ab}J_a^{(-1)}|R_g\rangle\mathcal{T}_b \quad (4.2)$$

which holds for all affine-Virasoro constructions L^{ab} . Here $|R_g\rangle^\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, \dim \mathcal{T}$ is the affine primary state with matrix representation $\mathcal{T}$, and the original KZ null state is recovered from (4.2) by taking $L^{ab} = L_g^{ab}$ and $L^{(-1)} = L_g^{(-1)}$. We also choose a so-called L -basis of representation $\mathcal{T}$, in which the conformal weight matrix is diagonal [37]

$$L^{ab}(\mathcal{T}_a \mathcal{T}_b)_\alpha^\beta = \Delta_\alpha(\mathcal{T}) \delta_\alpha^\beta \quad , \quad \alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, \dim \mathcal{T} \quad . \quad (4.3a)$$

$$L^{m \geq 0} |R_g\rangle = \delta_{m,0} \Delta_\alpha(\mathcal{T}) |R_g\rangle \quad , \quad \tilde{L}^{m \geq 0} |R_g\rangle = \delta_{m,0} \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha(\mathcal{T}) |R_g\rangle \quad (4.3b)$$

$$\Delta_g(\mathcal{T}) = \Delta_\alpha(\mathcal{T}) + \tilde{\Delta}_\alpha(\mathcal{T}) \quad . \quad (4.3c)$$

In such a basis, the states $|R_g\rangle$ are called the broken affine primary states, because the conformal weights $\Delta_g(\mathcal{T})$ of $|R_g\rangle$ under the affine-Sugawara construction are broken to the conformal weights $\Delta_\alpha(\mathcal{T})$ of the L theory. These states are also examples of Virasoro biprimary states, which are simultaneously Virasoro primary under both of the commuting K-conjugate stress tensors.

To use these null states in correlators, we need something like the Virasoro primary fields of the L theory. Because we have two commuting stress tensors, the natural objects are the Virasoro biprimary fields [38,37]

$$R^\alpha(\bar{z}, z) = e^{(\bar{z}-z)\tilde{L}^{(-1)}} R_g^\alpha(z) e^{(z-\bar{z})\tilde{L}^{(-1)}} = e^{(z-\bar{z})L^{(-1)}} R_g^\alpha(\bar{z}) e^{(\bar{z}-z)L^{(-1)}} \quad (4.4a)$$

$$R(z, z) = R_g(z) \quad , \quad R(0, 0)|0\rangle = |R_g\rangle \quad (4.4b)$$

which are simultaneously Virasoro primary under T and $\tilde{T}$. In (4.4), R_g^α are the broken affine primary fields, and the independent variable $\bar{z}$ is not necessarily the complex conjugate of z . The averages of these bilocal fields

$$A^\alpha(\bar{z}, z) = \langle R^{\alpha_1}(\mathcal{T}^1, \bar{z}_1, z_1) \dots R^{\alpha_n}(\mathcal{T}^n, \bar{z}_n, z_n) \rangle \quad (4.5)$$

are called biconformal correlators.

Inserting the null state (4.2) into the biconformal correlator, the Virasoro term gives derivatives with respect to the z 's, as usual, while the current term can be evaluated, in terms of the representation matrices, on the affine-Sugawara line $\bar{z} = z$. More generally, we obtain the affine-Virasoro Ward identities [37]

$$\bar{\partial}_{j_1} \dots \bar{\partial}_{j_q} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_p} A^\alpha(\bar{z}, z)|_{\bar{z}=z} = A_g^\beta(z) W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p}(z)_{\beta}{}^{\alpha} \quad (4.6)$$

where $W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p}$ are the affine-Virasoro connections and $A(z, z) = A_g(z)$ is the affine-Sugawara correlator (which satisfies the KZ equation on g). The first-order connections are

$$W_{0,i} = 2L^{ab} \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\mathcal{T}_a^i \mathcal{T}_b^j}{z_{ij}} \quad , \quad W_{i,0} = 2\tilde{L}^{ab} \sum_{j \neq i}^n \frac{\mathcal{T}_a^i \mathcal{T}_b^j}{z_{ij}} \quad (4.7)$$

which follow from the null state (4.2) and its K-conjugate copy with $L \rightarrow \tilde{L}$. The sum of these two connections is the KZ connection W_i^g , with $L = L_g$.

All the connections may be computed by standard dispersive techniques from the formula [37,39]

$$\begin{aligned} A_g^\beta(z) W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p}(z) \beta^\alpha = \\ \left[\prod_{r=1}^q \tilde{L}^{a_r b_r} \oint_{z_{j_r}} \frac{d\omega_r}{2\pi i} \oint_{\omega_r} \frac{d\eta_r}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{\eta_r - \omega_r} \right] \left[\prod_{s=1}^p L^{c_s d_s} \oint_{z_{i_s}} \frac{d\omega_{q+s}}{2\pi i} \oint_{\omega_{q+s}} \frac{d\eta_{q+s}}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{\eta_{q+s} - \omega_{q+s}} \right] \\ \times \langle J_{a_1}(\eta_1) J_{b_1}(\omega_1) \dots J_{a_q}(\eta_q) J_{b_q}(\omega_q) J_{c_1}(\eta_{q+1}) J_{d_1}(\omega_{q+1}) \dots \\ J_{c_p}(\eta_{q+p}) J_{d_p}(\omega_{q+p}) R_g^{\alpha_1}(\mathcal{T}^1, z_1) \dots R_g^{\alpha_n}(\mathcal{T}^n, z_n) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

since the required averages are in the affine-Sugawara theory on g . The results (4.6) and (4.8) prove the existence of the biconformal correlators (at least as an expansion about the affine-Sugawara line $\bar{z} = z$), but computation of all the connections appears to be a formidable task. So far, we have explicitly evaluated only the first and second-order connections [37] for all theories and all the connections for the coset constructions [37,39] and affine-Sugawara nests [39].

Many general properties of the connections also follow from (4.8), including the high-level form of all the connections [39]

$$W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p} = W_{j_1 \dots j_q, 0} W_{0, i_1 \dots i_p} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \quad (4.9a)$$

$$W_{j_1 \dots j_q, 0} = \left(\prod_{r=1}^{q-1} \partial_{j_r} \right) W_{j_q, 0} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \quad , \quad q \geq 1 \quad (4.9b)$$

$$W_{0, i_1 \dots i_p} = \left(\prod_{r=1}^{p-1} \partial_{i_r} \right) W_{0, i_p} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \quad , \quad p \geq 1 \quad (4.9c)$$

and the crossing symmetry of the connections [39]

$$W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p} |_{k \leftrightarrow l} = W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p} \quad (4.10)$$

where $k \leftrightarrow l$ includes T 's, z 's and indices. Moreover, the consistency relations [37]

$$(\partial_i + W_i^g) W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p} = W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p} + W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p}^i \quad (4.11)$$

show that we need only compute the independent set of connections $W_{0, i_1 \dots i_p}$.

So far we have described only the biconformal correlators. To obtain the conformal correlators, we must factorize the biconformal correlators[§]

$$A^\alpha(\bar{z}, z) = (\bar{A}(\bar{z}) A(z))^\alpha \quad , \quad A_g^\alpha(z) = (\bar{A}(z) A(z))^\alpha \quad (4.12)$$

into the proper correlators $\bar{A}$ and A of the $\tilde{L}$ and L theories respectively. Then the factorized Ward identities [37]

$$(\partial_{j_1} \dots \partial_{j_q} \bar{A} \partial_{i_1} \dots \partial_{i_p} A)^\alpha = (\bar{A} A)^\beta (W_{j_1 \dots j_q, i_1 \dots i_p})_\beta^\alpha \quad (4.13)$$

are an all-order non-linear differential system for the correlators of the K-conjugate pair of conformal field theories.

5 Coset and Nest Correlators

To anchor the new Ward identities, we solved the system first for the rational conformal field theories.

Given the all-order connections for

$$\tilde{L} = L_{g/h} \quad , \quad L = L_h \quad (5.1)$$

one solves the Ward identities (4.6) or (4.13) to obtain the factorized biconformal correlators [37,39]

$$A^\alpha(\bar{z}, z) = A_{g/h}^\beta(\bar{z}) A_h(z)_\beta^\alpha \quad (5.2a)$$

$$A_{g/h}^\alpha(\bar{z}) = A_g^\beta(\bar{z}) A_h^{-1}(\bar{z})_\beta^\alpha \quad (5.2b)$$

[§] The notation in (4.12) includes a sum $A^\alpha(\bar{z}, z) = \sum_\nu (\bar{A}_\nu(\bar{z}) A_\nu(z))^\alpha$ over the conformal structures $\bar{A}_\nu$, A_ν of the theories and an assignment of Lie algebra indices. See Refs.[37,39] and Section 7.

where $A_{g/h}$ are the coset correlators. The two-index symbol A_h is the (invertible) evolution operator of h , which solves the KZ equation for $h \subset g$.

Using the g and h -invariant tensors of $\mathcal{T}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{T}^4$, one may change to a conformal-block basis for the four-point coset correlators. Then, the coset blocks [40,37,41]

$$\mathcal{C}(u)_r{}^R = \mathcal{F}_g(u)_r{}^m \mathcal{F}_h^{-1}(u)_m{}^R \quad (5.3)$$

are obtained from (5.2b), where $\mathcal{F}_g$ and $\mathcal{F}_h$ are the KZ blocks of g and h .

Although the affine-Virasoro Ward identities have provided a derivation of these coset blocks from first principles, their form was discussed in 1987 by Douglas [40] who argued that they define consistent non-chiral conformal field theories.

In fact, the coset blocks are the ultimately practical answer for coset correlators. See [37] for an explicit example on $(SU(n)_{x_1} \times SU(n)_{x_2})/SU(n)_{x_1+x_2}$. More generally, the coset blocks show that coset correlators are always sums of products of generalized hypergeometric functions (i.e., solutions to the KZ equations), a conclusion which seems difficult to obtain in other approaches.

Turning next to the simplest affine-Sugawara nest

$$\tilde{L} = L_{g/h_1/h_2} \quad , \quad L = L_{h_1/h_2} \quad (5.4)$$

one solves the Ward identities to obtain the biconformal nest correlators [39]

$$A^\alpha(\bar{z}, z) = A_{g/h_1}^\beta(\bar{z}) A_{h_1/h_2}(z)_\beta{}^\gamma A_{h_2}(\bar{z})_\gamma{}^\alpha \quad (5.5)$$

where $A_{h_1/h_2} = A_{h_1} A_{h_2}^{-1}$ is composed of the evolution operators of h_1 and h_2 . The $\bar{z}$ dependence of (5.5) suggests that the conformal nest correlators are tensor-product theories

$$A_{g/h_1/h_2}(\bar{z}) = A_{g/h_1}(\bar{z}) \otimes A_{h_2}(\bar{z}) \quad (5.6)$$

and this has been confirmed [39] by analysis of (5.5) with the g , h_1 and h_2 -invariant tensors of $\mathcal{T}^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{T}^4$.

Similarly, the biconformal correlators of all the higher nests have been obtained on $g \subset h_1 \subset \cdots \subset h_n$, and the conformal nest correlators $A_{g/h_1/\dots/h_n}$ are exactly the tensor-product theories indicated in the nest stress tensors (2.11). Further details may be found in Ref.[39].

6 Algebraization of the Ward Identities

We want to solve the Ward identities for all ICFT, but the differential system (4.13) is intimidating. In fact, there is an equivalent algebraic formulation of the system [39], which I will discuss only for four-point correlators.

To begin, we use the $SL(2) \times SL(2)$ covariance of the biconformal correlators to translate our machinery above into invariant form [37]. We obtain the invariant Ward identities

$$\bar{\partial}^q \partial^p Y^\alpha(\bar{u}, u)|_{\bar{u}=u} = Y_g^\beta(u) W_{qp}(u)_\beta^\alpha \quad (6.1a)$$

$$W_{10} = 2\tilde{L}^{ab} \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_a^1 \mathcal{T}_b^2}{u} + \frac{\mathcal{T}_a^1 \mathcal{T}_b^3}{u-1} \right) \quad , \quad W_{01} = 2L^{ab} \left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_a^1 \mathcal{T}_b^2}{u} + \frac{\mathcal{T}_a^1 \mathcal{T}_b^3}{u-1} \right) \quad (6.1b)$$

and the invariant factorized Ward identities

$$(\partial^q \bar{Y} \partial^p Y)^\alpha = (\bar{Y} Y)^\beta (W_{qp})_\beta^\alpha \quad (6.2a)$$

$$Y^\alpha(\bar{u}, u) = (\bar{Y}(\bar{u}) Y(u))^\alpha \quad , \quad Y_g^\alpha(u) = (\bar{Y}(u) Y(u))^\alpha \quad (6.2b)$$

where $\bar{u}$ and u are cross ratios among the $\bar{z}$'s and z 's respectively. Here, $Y(\bar{u}, u)$ and $Y_g(u)$ are the invariant biconformal correlator and the invariant affine-Sugawara correlator respectively, while W_{qp} are the invariant connections of order $q + p$.

From the results for the n -point connections, the invariant connections are known for all theories thru second order and to all orders for cosets and nests. Similarly, we know the invariant consistency relations

$$(\partial + W^g) W_{qp} = W_{q+1,p} + W_{q,p+1} \quad (6.3)$$

the crossing relation

$$W_{qp}(1-u) = (-)^{q+p} P_{23} W_{qp}(u) P_{23} \quad (6.4a)$$

$$P_{23} \mathcal{T}^2 P_{23} = \mathcal{T}^3 \quad , \quad P_{23}^2 = 1 \quad (6.4b)$$

and, on simple g , we know the high-level form of the invariant connections

$$W_{qp} = W_{q0} W_{0p} + \Delta_{qp} \quad , \quad \Delta_{qp} = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \quad (6.5a)$$

$$W_{q0} = \partial^{q-1} W_{10} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \quad , \quad W_{0p} = \partial^{p-1} W_{01} + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \quad . \quad (6.5b)$$

The q, p factorized form seen in (6.5a) is true to all orders with $\Delta = 0$ when $\tilde{L} = L_{g/h}$ and $L = L_h$.

Toward solving the Ward identities, we note first that the partially-factorized form of the biconformal correlators [39]

$$Y^\alpha(\bar{u}, u) = \sum_{q,p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\bar{u} - u_0)^q}{q!} [Y_g^\beta(u_0) W_{qp}(u_0)_\beta^\alpha] \frac{(u - u_0)^p}{p!} \quad (6.6)$$

solves the unfactorized Ward identities (6.1a), where u_0 is a regular reference point. The consistency relations (6.3) show that the biconformal correlators are independent of the choice of u_0 .

We are left with the problem of factorization, but now we need only factorize the connections $W_{qp}(u_0)$ at the reference point into functions of q times functions of p . The factorized Ward identities (6.2) have been reduced to an algebraic problem.

7 Candidate Correlators for Irrational CFT

In fact, there are many algebraic factorizations of W_{qp} , whose interrelation is not fully understood. I will discuss here only a particular solution, which has good physical properties so far as it has been examined [39].

The invariant connections at the reference point define an eigenvalue problem

$$\sum_p W_{qp}(u_0)_\alpha^\beta \bar{\psi}_{p\beta}^{(\nu)}(u_0) = E_\nu(u_0) \bar{\psi}_{q\alpha}^{(\nu)}(u_0) \quad (7.1a)$$

$$\sum_q \psi_{q(\nu)}^\beta(u_0) W_{qp}(u_0)_\beta^\alpha = E_\nu(u_0) \psi_{p(\nu)}^\alpha(u_0) \quad (7.1b)$$

where ν , called the conformal structure index, labels the eigenvectors. Then, the spectral resolution

$$W_{qp}(u_0)_\alpha^\beta = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \bar{\psi}_{q\alpha}^{(\nu)}(u_0) E_\nu(u_0) \psi_{p(\nu)}^\beta(u_0) \quad (7.2)$$

gives the desired algebraic factorization of the connections, and we obtain the conformal structures [39]

$$Y^\alpha(\bar{u}, u) = (\bar{Y}(\bar{u}) Y(u))^\alpha = \sum_\nu \bar{Y}_\nu(\bar{u}, u_0) Y_\nu^\alpha(u, u_0) \quad (7.3a)$$

$$\bar{Y}_\nu(u, u_0) = \sqrt{E_\nu(u_0)} Y_g^\alpha(u_0) \bar{\psi}_\alpha^{(\nu)}(u, u_0) \quad , \quad \bar{\psi}_\alpha^{(\nu)}(u, u_0) \equiv \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \frac{(u - u_0)^q}{q!} \bar{\psi}_{q\alpha}^{(\nu)}(u_0) \quad (7.3b)$$

$$Y_\nu^\alpha(u, u_0) = \sqrt{E_\nu(u_0)} \psi_\alpha^{(\nu)}(u, u_0) \quad , \quad \psi_\alpha^{(\nu)}(u, u_0) \equiv \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} \frac{(u - u_0)^p}{p!} \psi_{p\alpha}^{(\nu)}(u_0) \quad (7.3c)$$

of the $\tilde{L}$ and L theories respectively. Because the eigenvalue problem is infinite dimensional, we find a generically infinite number of conformal structures for each theory - in accord with intuitive notions about ICFT.

This solution verifies the following properties [39].

1. Cosets and nests. The solution reproduces the correct coset and nest correlators above. The mechanism is a *degeneracy* of the conformal structures in which each $\bar{Y}_\nu$ is proportional to the same known correlators
2. Braiding. The solution exhibits a braiding for all ICFT, which follows from the crossing symmetry (6.4) of the connections and the linearity of the eigenvalue problem. Since the coset correlators are correctly included in the solution, this braiding includes and generalizes the braiding of RCFT.
3. Good semi-classical behavior. Because of the factorized high-level form (6.5) of the connections, we find a similar degeneracy among the high-level conformal structures of all ICFT. In this way, we identify the high-level correlators of ICFT,

$$Y_L^\alpha(u, u_0) = Y_g^\beta(u_0) (\mathbb{1} + 2\tilde{L}_{ab} \left[\mathcal{T}_a^1 \mathcal{T}_b^2 \ln\left(\frac{u}{u_0}\right) + \mathcal{T}_a^1 \mathcal{T}_b^3 \ln\left(\frac{1-u}{1-u_0}\right) \right])_\beta^\alpha + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) \quad (7.4)$$

where $\tilde{L}^{ab} = \tilde{P}^{ab}/2k + \mathcal{O}(k^{-2})$ is any affine-Virasoro construction on simple g . The result (7.4) correctly includes the coset and nest correlators, exhibits physical singularities in all channels and shows high-level fusion rules proportional to Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. These correlators should also be analyzed at the level of conformal blocks.

To further analyze the candidate correlators (7.3), it will be necessary to know more about the connections. Of particular interest is the next order in

k^{-1} , where the high-level degeneracy of the irrational theories is expected to lift.

8 Conclusions

The Virasoro master equation describes irrational conformal field theory, which includes rational conformal field theory as a small subspace. The affine-Virasoro Ward identities describe the correlators of irrational conformal field theory. The Ward identities are solvable beyond the coset constructions, and a set of candidate correlators have been obtained for all the irrational conformal field theories of the master equation.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to N. Obers for his generous help in preparing this manuscript.

References

- [1] K. Bardakçi and M.B. Halpern, Phys. Rev. **D3** (1971) 2493.
- [2] M.B. Halpern, Phys. Rev. **D4** (1971) 2398.
- [3] J. Lepowsky and R.L. Wilson, Comm. Math. Phys. **62** (1978) 43.
- [4] V.G. Kac, Funct. Anal. App. **1** (1967) 328; R.V. Moody, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **73** (1967) 217.
- [5] P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. **D3** (1971) 2415.
- [6] H. Sugawara, Phys. Rev. **170** (1968) 1659; C. Sommerfeld, Phys. Rev. **176** (1968) 2019.
- [7] V.G. Knizhnik and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B247** (1984) 83.
- [8] G. Segal, unpublished.
- [9] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. **92** (1984) 455;
- [10] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Phys. Lett. **B152** (1985) 88.

- [11] M.B. Halpern and E. Kiritsis, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4** (1989) 1373; Erratum ibid. **A4** (1989) 1797.
- [12] A.Yu Morozov, A.M. Perelomov, A.A. Rosly, M.A. Shifman and A.V. Turbiner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A5** (1990) 803.
- [13] M.B. Halpern and J.P. Yamron, Nucl. Phys. **B332** (1990) 411.
- [14] E. Witten, in Memorial Volume for V. Knizhnik, ed. L. Brink et al., World Scientific, 1990.
- [15] M.B. Halpern, E. Kiritsis, N.A. Obers, M. Porrati and J.P. Yamron, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A5** (1990) 2275.
- [16] M.B. Halpern, E.B. Kiritsis and N.A. Obers, Proceedings of the RIMS Research Project 1991, “Infinite Analysis”, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A7, Suppl. **1A** (1992) 339.
- [17] M.B. Halpern, “*Recent Developments in the Virasoro Master Equation*”, in the proceedings of the Stony Brook conference, *Strings and Symmetries* 1991, World Scientific, 1992.
- [18] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, Comm. Math. Phys. **138** (1991) 63.
- [19] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A6** (1991) 1835.
- [20] S. Schrans and W. Troost, Nucl. Phys. **B345** (1990) 584.
- [21] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, Nucl. Phys. **B345** (1990) 607.
- [22] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, Ann. of Phys. **212** (1991) 28.
- [23] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 7263.
- [24] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 3065.
- [25] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, J. Math. Phys. **32** (1991) 3231.
- [26] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, J. Math. Phys. **33** (1992) 3274.
- [27] Y. Kazama and H. Suzuki, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A4** (1989) 235.

- [28] R. Cohen and D. Gepner, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A6** (1991) 2249.
- [29] M.B. Halpern and J.P. Yamron, Nucl. Phys. **B351** (1991) 333.
- [30] A. Giveon, M.B. Halpern, E.B. Kiritsis and N.A. Obers, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 947.
- [31] J.M. Figueroa-O’Farrill, “*Constructing $N=2$ Superconformal Algebras out of $N=1$ Affine Lie Algebras*”, Bonn preprint, BONN-HE-93/21.
- [32] A. Giveon, M.B. Halpern, E.B. Kiritsis and N.A. Obers, Nucl. Phys. **B357** (1991) 655.
- [33] A.B. Zamolodchikov, JETP Lett. **43** (1986) 730.
- [34] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B241** (1985) 691.
- [35] A. Neveu and J.H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. **B31** (1971) 86.
- [36] A.B. Zamolodchikov, TMF **99** (1985) 108; V.A. Fateev and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Nucl. Phys. **B280** (1987) 644; V.A. Fateev and S.I. Lykhanov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A3** (1988) 507.
- [37] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, “*Ward Identities for Affine-Virasoro Correlators*”, Berkeley/Bonn preprint, UCB-PTH-92/24, BONN-HE-92/21, 1992. To appear in Int. J. Mod. Phys. A
- [38] M.B. Halpern, Ann. of Phys. **194** (1989) 247.
- [39] M.B. Halpern and N.A. Obers, “*Solving the Ward Identities of Irrational Conformal Field Theory*”, Berkeley/Bonn preprint, UCB-PTH-93/18, BONN-HE-93/17, 1993. To appear in Int. J. Mod. Phys. A
- [40] M.R. Douglas, “ *G/H Conformal Field Theory*”, Caltech preprint, CALT-68-1453, 1987, unpublished.
- [41] M.Yu. Lashkevich, “*Conformal Blocks of Coset Construction: Zero Ghost Number*”, Landau Inst. preprint, LANDAU-92-TMP-1, 1992.